

## Interview Summary

Application No.

09/922,487

Applicant(s)

HALLIDAY, CHRISTOPHER I.

Examiner

Kevin Bates

Art Unit

2155

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Kevin Bates.

(3) Chris Halliday.

(2) Matt Cohen.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 11 August 2005.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 77.

Identification of prior art discussed: Wugofski.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.


Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed the differences between direct satellite service and Satellite Radio by definitions and discussed ways to define satellite radio in the arguments to define the distinctions.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

  
BHARAT BAROT  
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

  
Examiner's signature, if required

Appln. Serial N

I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THIS CORRESPONDENCE IS BEING FAXED TO THE  
EXAMINER KEVIN BATES AT (571) 273-3980 ON THE DATE INDICATED BELOW.

BY: DATE: 7/29/05

PATENT

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

In re:	Patent Application of Christopher I. Halliday	: Group Art Unit: 2155
Appln. No.:	09/922,487	: Examiner: Bates, Kevin T.
Filed:	August 3, 2001	:
For:	Time Shifting Over A Global Communication Network	:

**PROPOSED AGENDA FOR EXAMINER INTERVIEW**

In response to the request by Examiner Bates, the following is a proposed agenda  
for the Examiner Interview tentatively scheduled for Thursday, August 11, 2005 from  
1:00 P.M. to about 2:00 P.M.

The proposed agenda is as follows:

1. Introductions
2. Applicant would suggest that the initial discussion center on why  
the direct broadcast satellite (DBS) signals of Wugofski (U.S. Patent No. 6507951) are  
not technically and by definition "satellite audio radio" signals (see, e.g., 47 CFR §  
25.202(a)(6) and (a)(7) and *The Satellite Telecommunications Applications Handbook*,  
2nd Edition (2004), chapters 6 and 7), and therefore a prima facie showing of  
obviousness has not been met because neither Robbins (U.S. Patent No. 6317882) nor  
Wugofski, either alone or in combination, disclose or suggest the claimed feature of  
"satellite audio radio."

Applicant estimates that the discussion time for Agenda Point 2 to be about 20-35

minutes, or less.

3. If necessary, applicant suggests a discussion of any further amendments to the claims to place the claims in a condition for allowance and cosmetic/clean up amendment to the pending claims.

Applicant estimates a discussion time of 5-15 minutes.

4. Applicant also suggests discussing an amendment to the title to more appropriately reflect the claimed invention.

Applicant estimates a discussion time of 5-10 minutes.

5. Adjournment.

For purposes of the meeting, applicant and his undersigned attorney will bring the reference "*The Satellite Telecommunications Applications Handbook*, 2nd Edition (2004)" and a copy of the relevant portions of 47 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), namely 47 CFR § 25.202(a)(6) and (a)(7). These references will be used to illustrate the important technical and definitional distinctions between "satellite audio radio" and "direct broadcast satellite (DBS)." If the Examiner has any question concerning the above proposed agenda, please contact Matthew I. Cohen at the number below.

Respectfully Submitted,

7/29/05  
(Date)

By: 

Mathew I. Cohen, Reg. No. 48,133  
1800 JFK Blvd. Suite 404  
Philadelphia, PA 19103-7405  
215-569-9701  
Attorney for Applicant